

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS tates Court of Appear.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF AFFICESFEB 06 1996 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

v.

No. 94-3021

DEBORAH GORE DEAN,

Appellant.

Pages 1 thru 90

Washington, D.C. November 15, 1994

MILLER REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

507 C Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

1	assistant. That, Your Honors
2	QUESTION: Let me ask you about John Mitchell.
3	Did you put evidence on before the jury that he was a felon,
4	a convicted felon?
5	MR. SWARTZ: No, Your Honor.
6	QUESTION: Did you identify him as a former
7	Attorney General?
8	MR. SWARTZ: Your Honor, my recollection is that
9	the court took steps to insure that did not come before the
10	jury throughout. I believe Ms. Dean testified that she saw
11	Mr. Mitchell on television during Watergate and that her
12	first reaction was that, he was guilt.
13	QUESTION: There were also some communications
14	talking about General Mitchell.
15	MR. SWARTZ: General Mitchell.
16	QUESTION: Anybody could infer.
17	MR. SWARTZ: The government certainly did not make
18	it part of its case to suggest that he was a convicted
19	felon?
20	QUESTION: How do we know that? Oh, to suggest
21	that he was a convicted felon?
22	MR. SWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor, that is correct,
23	yes.
24	QUESTION: But if the jury, if one could assume
25	the jury knew who John Mitchell was, it certainly was not of

1	any benefit to Ms. Dean that her mother was living with this
2	particular individual and Ms. Dean called him dad? That was
3	not really helpful, was it?
4	MR. SWARTZ: Your Honor, I think in that regard,
5	the most that can be said is that the government was
6	scrupulous in its attempts not to link this up in any way to
7	Watergate. Ms. Dean herself was the one who mentioned it in
8	her testimony at trial, the facts
9	QUESTION: I think you are well over your time,
10	but we have kept you
11	QUESTION: Well, I have one other question.
12	QUESTION: Oh, yes, sir, go ahead.
13	QUESTION: I would like you to respond to the
14	appellant's argument concerning the alleged misconduct in
15	closing argument, particularly the accusation that she is or
16	was a liar.
17	MR. SWARTZ: Your Honor, I think in that regard,
18	again, Judge Hogan's ruling is the critical factor here, a
19	ruling that I believe under this court's decisions in cases
20	such as <u>Paxson</u> and <u>Harris</u> cannot be reversed except for
21	abuse of discretion.
22	Judge Hogan concluded that the closing use of the
23	word liars did not present a basis for a new trial for two
24	reasons, first because of the nature of the case, a case and
25	nature that distinguishes it from virtually all other cases